UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/664,422 | 09/17/2003 | Guy A. Rouleau | GOUD:023USD3 | 3964 |
| Michael R. Kra | 7590 09/08/200 wzsenek | EXAMINER | | |
| Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P. | | | KOLKER, DANIEL E | |
| Suite 2400 600 Congress A | venue | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Austin, TX 78701 | | | 1649 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/08/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/664,422 | ROULEAU ET AL. | | | | |
| merview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | DANIEL KOLKER | 1649 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Daniel Kolker (USPTO)</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Chuck Landrum (attorney for applicants)</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>04 September 2008</u> . | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2 | r)∏ applicant's representative | e] | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e) No. | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. | | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed possible amendment after final. Examiner indicated that if the number of claims added were the same as the number of claims added, an amendment might be entered after final rejection. No specific claim language was agreed upon, and no indication of allowable subject matter was made. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| /Daniel E. Kolker, Ph.D./ | | | | | | |